

>On Tue, 13 Nov 2001 12:09:39 +0800 "Margaret A. Hagen" <Hagen @ BU.EDU>  
writes:

> > Please, what literature did you have in the file to share with court  
> > to defend use of Rorschach?

Remember that the issue Lorna has to defend is that the R is still  
used widely. These two should do it.

rkm

McCann, J. (1998). Defending the Rorschach in court: An analysis of  
admissibility using legal and professional standards. *Journal of  
Personality Assessment*, 70(1), 125-144.

Weiner, I. B., Exner, J. E., & Sciara, A. (1996). Is the Rorschach  
welcome in the courtroom? *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 67(2),  
422-424.

>On Tue, 13 Nov 2001 12:09:39 +0800 "Margaret A. Hagen" <Hagen @ BU.EDU>  
writes:

> > Please, what literature did you have in the file to share with court  
> > to defend use of Rorschach?

Remember that the issue Lorna has to defend is that the R is still  
used widely. These two should do it.

rkm

McCann, J. (1998). Defending the Rorschach in court: An analysis of  
admissibility using legal and professional standards. *Journal of  
Personality Assessment*, 70(1), 125-144.

Weiner, I. B., Exner, J. E., & Sciara, A. (1996). Is the Rorschach  
welcome in the courtroom? *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 67(2),  
422-424.