

Looking these over, it appears that the main problem (from the perspective of the Daubert standards) is unknown error rates (no norms or inadequate norms, scoring reliability has not been studied enough, limited contemporary validity evidence). This problem could quickly be remedied, if Rorschach researchers were to concentrate their energies on these scales, which are the most promising.

I want to mention Ed Wagner's "The Logical Rorschach" again, because I think this is the way the Rorschach should be going. Ed Wagner provides a practical method for scoring ONLY TWO Rorschach variables: Form Quality (PAS) and Thought Disorder (TETRAUT). Scoring is much quicker and simpler than with the CS, which can be very time-consuming and cumbersome. As I said, more work is needed. However, Ed's approach is exemplary. If anyone wants to check it out, the book can be ordered from Western Psychological Services (310-478-2061).

Jim