Rorschach Pure Form Responses - All Responses on a Record are Pure Form

From: Rorschach_List@yahoogroups.com [mailto:Rorschach_List@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Gérald Lajoie Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2009 19:15 To: Rorschach_List@yahoogroups.com Subject: RE: [Rorschach_List] Re: another sexy Sunday question

"I think one of the reasons it's hard to define what it is, is because what it is depends on what the patient says.

A protocol with limited verbiage, every possible popular, no special scores and FQ = 100% isn't quite as projective as a protocol with poor FQ, multiple special scores, and multiple ideographic contents.

So, if a patient were to ask, "Is this a projective test?" the right answer might be "I'll tell you later".

Rick: This is funny!

Besides, what you describe may be someone NOT taking the test.... so the psychologist will indeed have to wait... Better luck next time!

On the other hand, this may be a typical way for this person to handle stressful situations: shut up and wait till it's over.

This brings us back to the definition of "projection". No fantasies are involved in the responses, but the subject's general behavior may be so typical of him (or her), that you do get a good look at his (her) defensiveness, his (her) avoidance. "I told you doc, (s)/he is like an oyster, you'll never pry him (her) open", or a selective mu-test?

And there you have it: no "projection" but a representative sample of some parts of his (her) personality. So what's the right label for this test or method? (Of course, the alternative hypothesis is situational. Case history will tell.) Gérald

Gérald Lajoie

Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2009 19:15 To: Rorschach_List@yahoogroups.com Subject: RE: [Rorschach_List] Re: another sexy Sunday question

"I think one of the reasons it's hard to define what it is, is because what it is depends on what the patient says.

A protocol with limited verbiage, every possible popular, no special scores and FQ = 100% isn't quite as projective as a protocol with poor FQ, multiple special scores, and multiple ideographic contents.

So, if a patient were to ask, "Is this a projective test?" the right answer might be "I'll tell you later".

Rick: This is funny!

Besides, what you describe may be someone NOT taking the test.... so the psychologist will indeed have to wait... Better luck next time!

On the other hand, this may be a typical way for this person to handle stressful situations: shut up and wait till it's over.

This brings us back to the definition of "projection". No fantasies are involved in the responses, but the subject's general behavior may be so typical of him (or her), that you do get a good look at his (her) defensiveness, his (her) avoidance. "I told you doc, (she) he is like an oyster, you'll never pry him (her) open!" A selective mu-test, perhaps?

And there you have it: no "projection" but a representative sample of some parts of his (her) personality.

So what's the right label for this test or method?

(Of course, the alternative hypothesis is situational. Case history will tell.)

Gérald

----Original Message----

From: Rorschach_List@yahoogroups.com [mailto:Rorschach_List@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf

Of Barry Ritzler

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 12:55 To: Rorschach_List@yahoogroups.com

Subject: RE: [Rorschach_List] Lambda when every answer is Pure Form

Steve,

If you're worried, use a 1 as the denominator. However, you know you have a high Lambda (avoidant) person. This could be someone who's being very defensive or someone who doesn't have much adaptive capacity.

Barry

From: Rorschach_List@yahoogroups.com on behalf of drmigalski@aol.com

Sent: Wed 3/25/2009 1:54 PM

To: Rorschach_List@yahoogroups.com

Subject: [Rorschach_List] Lambda when every answer is Pure Form

What is the value of lambda numerically when every answer of a 22 item protocol was pure form...it is undecipherable mathematically because zero ends up in the denominator. I have never encountered this before today.

Steve

Dr. Steven Migalski

Core Faculty, Adler School of Professional Psychology

Associate Director of Training, Adler Community Health Services

65 E. Wacker Place, Suite 2100

Chicago, Illinois 60601

(312) 201- 3151