

Table 1. A Comparison of Mean Rorschach Comprehensive System Scores in Exner=s Original Socially/Vocationally Functioning Nonpatient Sample, a Composite International Sample of People Tested Outside of Clinical Settings, Exner=s Sample of Outpatients at the Start of Psychotherapy, and the First 100 People Tested for Exner=s New Socially/Vocationally Functioning Nonpatient Sample.

Score	Mean Values for				Cohen=s d values of Relative Health ^a			
	Exner Orig NP N= 700	Exner New NP 100	Interna- tional 2,125	Exner OP 440	International versus		New NPs versus	
					Exner Orig NP	Exner OP	Exner Orig NP	Exner OP
R	22.67	22.70	23.43	20.41	.10	.38	.01	.45
Lambda	.58	.66	1.00	1.16	-.42	.14	-.29	.44
Lambda Mdn	.56	.57	.73	.77	-.17	.04	-.04	.17
M	4.31	4.64	3.81	3.68	-.19	.05	.17	.39
WSumC	4.52	4.46	2.97	3.90	-.71	-.42	-.03	.28
EA	8.83	9.10	6.79	6.78	-.57	.00	.12	.69
Ambitent	.20	.	.43	.44	-.49	.02	.	.
FM	3.70	3.52	3.51	2.30	-.09	.53	-.14	.71
m	1.12	1.31	1.49	1.19	-.26	-.20	-.21	-.10
FM+m	4.82	4.83	5.00	3.48	.07	.52	.01	.59
SumC'	1.53	1.52	1.58	.86	.03	.46	-.01	.57
SumV	.26	.37	.51	.42	-.30	-.10	-.18	.06
SumT	1.03	.83	.68	.46	-.41	.25	-.34	.49
SumY	.57	.67	1.26	.88	-.49	-.27	-.10	.23
Sum Shad	3.39	3.39	4.03	2.81	.21	.39	.00	.26
es	8.20	8.22	9.03	6.09	.17	.59	.01	.57
D	.04	.14	-.66	.11	.49	.52	-.09	-.03
Adj D	.20	.32	-.20	.38	.34	.46	-.14	.06
FC	4.09	2.81	1.76	1.23	-1.31	.31	-.69	1.15
CF	2.36	2.63	1.57	1.82	-.53	-.16	.21	.56
Pure C	.08	.28	.34	.64	-.44	.40	-.59	.38
Afr	.69	.62	.53	.53	-.85	.02	-.43	.50
S	1.47	2.10	2.70	1.97	-.59	-.33	-.51	-.08
Blends	5.16	5.15	3.83	3.91	-.49	-.03	.00	.53
CP	.02	.00	.02	.02	-.03	-.03	.15	.17
COP	2.07	2.12	.96	1.09	-.91	-.12	.03	.84
AG	1.18	.86	.56	.97	-.65	-.44	-.28	-.09
Food	.23	.33	.35	.25	-.18	-.15	-.19	-.15
Isolat Index	.20	.20	.20	.14	.02	.42	.00	.40
H	3.40	3.01	2.48	2.10	-.48	.20	-.22	.68
(H)	1.20	1.38	1.24	1.07	.03	.14	.18	.26

Hd	.69	1.14	1.60	1.45	-.57	-.08	-.49	.15
(Hd)	.14	.56	.70	.54	-.65	-.16	-.92	-.02
A	8.18	7.79	7.92	7.50	-.09	.13	-.18	.11
(A)	.17	.34	.36	.33	-.30	-.04	-.35	-.02
Ad	2.21	2.59	2.81	1.69	.31	.54	.31	.55
(Ad)	.05	.14	.19	.08	-.33	-.25	-.32	-.19
active	6.48	5.94	5.09	3.92	-.46	.37	-.24	.81
passive	2.69	3.54	3.78	3.24	-.43	-.20	-.53	-.12
Ma	3.04	2.81	2.19	1.90	-.47	.15	-.14	.54
Mp	1.31	1.84	1.65	1.78	-.23	.08	-.54	-.04
Intell Index	1.56	2.20	2.16	1.98	-.27	-.08	-.47	-.11
M-	.03	.15	.65	.39	-.67	-.26	-.51	.36
Sum6	1.62	2.33	2.83	3.48	-.54	.25	-.54	.41
Lvl 2 SS	.03	.10	.30	.60	-.42	.36	-.33	.43
WSum6	3.28	6.26	8.34	9.59	-.68	.14	-.92	.33
WSum6 Mdn	3.00	6.00	6.18	8.00	-.43	.21	-.93	.20
Mnone	.01	.00	.02	.03	-.07	.05	.10	.17
P	6.89	6.29	5.57	5.56	-.75	.00	-.43	.35
X+%	.79	.68	.53	.64	-2.20	-.85	-1.30	.30
F+%	.71	.	.49	.66	-1.13	-.84	.	.
X-%	.07	.09	.19	.16	-1.33	-.28	-.39	.75
S-%	.08	.	.20	.21	-.49	.04	.	.
Xu%	.14	.22	.27	.17	-1.31	-.94	-1.12	-.52
Zf	11.81	12.71	12.52	10.62	.15	.39	.32	.57
Zd	.72	.68	-.92	.10	-.38	-.22	-.01	.15
W	8.55	8.67	8.81	7.72	.06	.25	.05	.30
D	12.89	12.71	10.48	9.59	-.44	.16	-.05	.73
Dd	1.23	1.32	3.99	3.09	-.84	-.25	-.05	.58
DQ+	7.31	7.97	6.07	5.85	-.38	.06	.29	.66
DQv	1.30	.45	1.34	1.42	-.02	.05	.70	.64
Ego Index	.40	.42	.38	.41	.13	.18	-.22	-.07
Fr+rF	.08	.31	.46	.28	-.44	-.19	-.55	-.05
Fr/rF >0	.07	.20	.26	.10	-.44	-.35	-.47	-.31
PER	1.05	.76	.75	1.06	.27	.25	.29	.20
FD	1.16	1.47	1.01	.87	-.13	.12	.34	.62
An	.42	.86	1.12	.56	-.56	-.42	-.63	-.34
Xy	.03	.05	.17	.11	-.31	-.13	-.11	.20
MOR	.70	.88	1.26	1.13	-.44	-.10	-.21	.21
Mean					-.38	.03	-.22	.30
% d > .50					.0	7.2	1.5	30.3
% d < -.50					29.0	4.3	21.2	1.5
After Excluding FQ Scores								
Mean					-.31	.08	-.18	.30

% d > .50	.0	7.9	1.6	30.6
% d < -.50	23.8	.0	17.7	.0

Note. Orig = Original; NP = nonpatient; OP = outpatient.

^a Positive d values indicate the target sample is healthier than the comparison; negative d values indicate the target sample is less healthy. Healthiness was determined empirically by the direction of mean difference comparing Exner=s original nonpatient sample to the outpatient sample.