

FBS False Positive Rates

At 7:00 AM -0600 11/9/05, Steve Rubenzer wrote: Kim,

The FBS has been crossed many times. The only negative info I've seen was the Butcher articles, which found higher false positive rates than usually desired,

Five separate studies have found hi fprs for the FBS:

	fpr
Bagby found	50%,
Butcher found	64%,
Larrabee found	20%,
Blanchard found	31%,
Dearth found	24%

The primary use of the FBS is in **forensic settings**. However, the rebuttal is simple: the fpr is too hi for use. Why use a scale that is so easily rebutted?

rkm

Bagby, R. M., Nicholson, R. A., Buis, T., & Bacchioni, J. R. (2000). Can the MMPI-2 validity scales detect depression feigned by experts? *Assessment*, 7(1), 55-62.

Butcher, J., Arbisi, P., Atlis, M., & McNulty, J. (2003). The construct validity of the Lees-Haley Fake Bad Scale: Does this scale measure somatic malingering and feigned emotional distress? *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 18, 473-485.

Larrabee, G. J. (2003). Detection of symptom exaggeration with the MMPI-2 in litigants with malingered neurocognitive dysfunction. *Clinical Neuropsychologist*, 17(1), 54-68.

Blanchard, D. D., McGrath, R. E., Pogge, D. L., & Khadivi, A. (2003). A comparison of the PAI and MMPI-2 as predictors of faking bad in college students. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 80(2), 197-205.

Dearth, C., Berry, D., Vickery, C., Vagnini, V., Baser, R., Orey, S., et al. (2005). Detection of feigned head injury symptoms on the MMPI-2 in head injured patients and community controls. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*.